

# Minutes

---

**Meeting:** Corsham Community Area Transport Group (CATG)  
**Place:** Virtual meeting via Microsoft Teams  
**Date:** Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> April 2022  
**Time:** 14:00

---

Please direct any enquiries to Sarah Dearden (Senior Traffic Engineer), via email [sarah.dearden@wiltshire.gov.uk](mailto:sarah.dearden@wiltshire.gov.uk)

---

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	<b>Date of meeting: 13<sup>th</sup> April 2022</b>			
<b>1.</b>	<b>Attendees and apologies</b>			
	<p>Present:</p> <p>Apologies:</p>	<p>Sarah Dearden (WC), Ruth Hopkinson (WCC/CTC)            Brian Mathews (WCC), David Arnup (WC), David Martin (CTC),            James Whittleton (CTC), Peter Shaw (LPC), Richard Campbell            (BPC), Robert Davies (BPC), Steve Abbott (CTC), Tony Clarke            (CPC), Sheila Parker (BPC)</p> <p>Derek Walters (WCC), Anna Woolard (BPC), Helen Belcher            (WCC/CTC), Rebecca Smith (BPC), Spencer Drinkwater (WC),            Ros Griffiths (WC)</p>		
<b>2.</b>	<b>Notes of last meeting</b>			
		The notes of the last meeting held on 12 <sup>th</sup> January 2022 were accepted as a true record.	Noted and agreed	

3.	<b>Financial Position</b>			
		See Finance sheet. 2022/23 allocation is £21,902. 2021/22 underspend was £51,406 and the current commitments total £51,406.34 giving a remaining budget of £37,940.66	Noted and agreed	
3a.	<b>Future Changes to CATG</b>	Sarah to update potential future changes to CATG.	SD outline potential new changes to the CATG, official notes will be circulated once paper has gone to cabinet end April 2022.	
4.	<b>Top 5 Priority Schemes</b>			

<p>a)</p>	<p><b><u>5-21-2</u></b> B3109 Bradford Road, Rudloe</p>	<p>Request for a safe crossing to be installed, for example a formal signal-controlled crossing. Box PC raised concerns over residents of Redcliffe development on journeys to school. Gap in footway not allowing practical use of new Toucan crossing from this location. The Parish Council requests that the speed limit on the B3109 be reduced from 50 mph to 30 mph on the stretch of the road going from the mini fiveways junction at the top of Westwells Road, past the housing development and the access to Corsham Primary School. The Parish Council would also request a reduction to 20 mph at school opening and closing.</p> <p>As specified in DfT circular 01/13 Setting Local Speed Limits, speed limits should not be used to attempt to solve an isolated issue, the provision of adequate footways in rural locations is a more effective way to improve pedestrian safety than lowering the speed limit over a short distance. The Bellway site plan shows a footway link east of the Dickens Gate development connecting with Skynet Drive. Once this is constructed it is suggested this route is promoted for a safer route to school. Discuss option of substantive bid for a footway from the eastern development to link with toucan crossing. Topographical survey required to progress.</p> <p>Cllr Walters expressed concerns relating to the speed limit and highlighted concerns over whether this issue is being fully addressed.</p> <p>Box PC commented they are unhappy about position of toucan crossing requesting a site meeting. Headteacher proposes reduction in speed limit with option for flashing 20mph advisory speed limit.</p> <p>Continued...</p>		
-----------	---	--	--	--

<p>a, conti nued</p>	<p><b><u>5-21-2 continued</u></b></p>	<p>Cllr Hopkinson gave a comprehensive background on the toucan crossing connection with Skynet Drive and reasoning behind it in relation to the development.</p> <p>BPC and CTC agree their contributions towards Topo survey and Speed limit assessment (£4500- CATG £3375-BPC+CTC £562.5 each)</p> <p>Topo and SLA ordered. <b>Topo completed and with SD, SLA due by end June 2022.</b></p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b> Plan and costs for potential sub bid for footway now required for progression towards potential sub bid. SLA not yet received.</p> <p><b><u>Action</u></b> Prepare plan and costs for footway for sub bid. Circulated results of SLA when received.</p>	<p>SD SD</p>
<p>b)</p>	<p><b><u>5-21-3</u></b> Pool Green / Elley Green junction, Neston, Corsham</p>	<p>Concerns over pedestrian safety as no footpath at this junction and visibility is limited. Parents do not like walking this section with buggies and young children as do not feel safe as there is no proper footpath and traffic does not slow down to turn into junction. This road is busy at school times and with a bus route. Preschool numbers have increased due to closure of Cotswold Centre and new housing meaning more pedestrians using this route. Pedestrian movements in this area are difficult due to limited visibility at this junction and lack of footway. Corsham TC support this issue and agree to fund any survey work plus 25% towards implementation up to £5,000. Group supports issue. Design and estimate to be completed when resources allow this issue to reach top priority list. SD and DW had site visit to discuss options, plan and cost estimate to be completed in new year.</p> <p>CTC agreed to fund any survey work plus 25% towards implementation up to £5000. CATG agreed to contribute £3500.</p> <p><b>Plan and costs to be completed for April meeting.-see attached</b></p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b> Both Option 1 &amp; 2 looked at by group, Option 2 is preferred. CATG agree max contribution of £6795, with CTC paying their 25% at £2265+£438 for Catman survey.</p> <p><b><u>Action</u></b> CTC to confirm Contribution of above at next P&amp;A meeting and let SD know as soon as possible.</p> <p>Progress scheme to detailed design and make up work package/booking of road space</p>	<p>SA SD</p>

<p>c)</p>	<p><b><u>5-20-4 / 5-20-6 (previously Issue 6876)</u></b></p> <p>Request for 20mph speed limit on residential streets around Corsham.</p>	<p>Cost estimate for speed limit assessments is £2,500. 25% contribution of £625. Corsham TC have now submitted plans for Corsham and Neston to be assessed. Group agreed funding. Corsham TC confirmed contribution.</p> <p>20mph speed limit assessment is in progress, however we are currently waiting to carry out the data collection/traffic surveys until the national restrictions surrounding Covid-19 are relaxed in order to get a true reading of 'normal' traffic speeds for the assessment. KD/JW discussed traffic surveys in January 2021 and agreed due to latest Covid-19 national lockdown to defer this work until the restrictions are eased and traffic returns to normal volumes.</p> <p>Assessment to be carried out as soon as possible. Currently, the timeframe is for traffic surveys to be undertaken over summer period and reports to be finalised in the autumn.</p> <p>Corsham Town Council want surveys done term time-KD to request.</p> <p>Plans forwarded to Cllr Walters showing extents of surveys(KD)</p> <p>Surveys were delayed due to covid backlog.</p> <p>Also some delays due to road closures within certain areas.</p> <p><b>CATG Agreed to allocate £5389.34 towards implementation. Surveys have been completed, waiting for final reports. (Due March/April)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b></p> <p>Final reports not yet received, due April. SD explains that implementation costs in region of £10,000. Costs TBC once known. CATG previously allocated £5389.34.</p> <p>Question asked about who pays for 20mph SD to investigate.</p> <p>SD looked at Wilts Policy on 20mph limits/zones (which needs updating)</p> <p>Flow chart to be followed, Costs as per standard CATG process. (this was put to the CATG in previous years if they took 20mph within their remit then costs bared by CATG's.</p> <p><b><u>Action</u></b></p> <p>Chase reports</p> <p>CTC to confirm their contribution of £2500 (25%). Let SD know once confirmed so can begin processes for implantation.</p>	<p>SD</p> <p>SA/JW</p>
-----------	--	--	---	------------------------

<p>d)</p>	<p><b><u>5-21-9</u></b> Fosse Way / Bath Road junction, Colerne</p>	<p>Concerns over vehicle accidents along this route and around the bend. Requests for a review of signing along this route, in particular speed limit signing and reduction to 50mph. Colerne PC and BM commented on safety concerns along this route and request a signing review. SD to undertake signing review in the new year. (if speed limit assessment required submit new separate issue).</p> <p>CATG agreed to allocate £2500 towards implementation.</p> <p><b>Design and costs to be ready for next meeting (April 22) see attached</b></p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b> CPC to discuss designs at next Parish meeting. CATG agreed to contribute the additional £1859 to cover the shortfall for the whole scheme to be implemented.</p> <p><b><u>Action</u></b> CPC to confirm happy with full scheme and their 25% contribution of £1454. Let SD know asap so scheme can be progressed.</p>	<p>TC</p>
<p>e,</p>	<p><b><u>5-21-18</u></b> Corsham Smiths Yard/High Street-Street Nameplate</p>	<p>No Street name sign, there was one previously but no longer. It leads to confusion for delivery drivers etc.</p> <p>To be discussed at meeting. All agreed an issue. Plan and costs required. Plans attached -2 options to discuss. Option 1 £103.81 Option 2 £121.88</p> <p>Option 1 agreed for implementation. Order issued. <b>To be installed imminently.</b> (CTC £26/CATG £78)</p>	<p><b><u>Action</u></b> Works complete, can be removed off list.</p>	<p>SD</p>

<p>e)</p>	<p><u>5-19-9</u> Freestone Way, Corsham – position of bus stop.</p>	<p>Corsham TC confirm support for consideration of 3 bus stops along this route. KD commented that without installing kassel bus stop kerbs the price will decrease by approx. half Confirm support and contribution after P&amp;A meeting. Group agreed funding if CTC confirm cheaper option &amp; contribution.(TC) Request Passenger Transport erect flag signs on lamp columns at each site. Send example kerbs to CTC.(KD) New plans attached and Costs received: Totals 3 sites <b>£15,617.30</b> CATG (75%) <b>£11,712.97</b> Location X= CATG £3885.07 Location Y= CATG £3885.07 Location Z (possible drainage issue further investigation needed) = CATG £3942.84 Catman HD CATG £156.60 CTC agree to fund £11,712.97 towards all 3 sites. CATG agree to pay the outstanding £4000. If all in agreement-Works can be ordered and added to construction program for next financial year.  <b>Scheme package progressing.</b></p>	<p><b>Discussion</b> Works programmed for 16<sup>th</sup> May 2022 for 10 days.</p>	
-----------	---	---	---	--



f)	<p><b><u>5-20-5</u></b> Memorial Garden, Market Place, Colerne</p>	<p>Colerne PC have improved disabled access to the Memorial Gardens and are now requesting a dropped kerb and bus stop hard standing area. Group agreed to move to top priority when resources are available. Site visit with Colerne PC to be undertaken once Covid-19 national lockdown restrictions are eased. Site meeting undertaken in May 2021. Please see attached proposal plan, the cost estimate is in the region of £3,200 (CATG: £2,400. 25% contribution: £800). All agreed to fund the scheme if Colerne agree and confirm contribution. Colerne to install heritage lighting at own expense, coordinate with Wiltshire Council to implement-no action for WC Works added to construction programme date TBC (TTRO required for suspension of parking during works). TTRO and works package completed and issued.</p> <p><b>Works target date 16<sup>th</sup> May for 5 days. (CONFIRMED)</b></p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b> Works programmed for 16<sup>th</sup> May 2022 for 5 days.</p>	
----	--	--	---	--

<p>9,</p>	<p><b><u>5-21-20</u></b></p> <p>Corsham Station Road. Building protection</p>	<p>Cars swing into Station Road from Pickwick Road (outside The Methuen Arms) and they often take the corner too wide. On a couple of occasions, they have hit the side of the house (3 Station Rd). Lorry had previously taken out some of the window ledge on the first floor and another time a car drove into the side of the house and scrapped and dented the wall. Whole house shook.</p> <p>Possibility of bollards/planters to be installed for protection, CTC supports the request but needs to be in keeping with the area (no plastic etc) options to be looked at.</p> <p>All agreed an issue and move to top priority list.</p> <p>Plans attached. (it's to be noted that if scheme goes ahead, the exact location of the bollards may change due to an underground cable running along the side of the carriageway in line with preferred bollards location-CATMAN costed for in estimate to determine location of cable)</p> <p>To be discussed in more detail.</p> <p>Cost estimate £1674.10 (bollards)</p> <p>Concerns raised from CTC about bollards, site meeting to take place for further discussion and decision of what to install.</p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b> Group decided on planter. CATG agreed max contribution of £1000, CTC 25% at £250.</p> <p><b><u>Action</u></b> Produce cost estimate and plan for next meeting</p>	<p>SD</p>
-----------	---	--	---	-----------

5.	<b>Other Priority Schemes</b>		
a)	<p><b><u>Issue 6829</u></b></p> <p>Lacock village – restriction for tourist coach restriction.</p>	<p>Preliminary design sent to Lacock Parish Council for consideration. The estimated costs of this scheme including data collection surveys before and after to analyze success of signing scheme is in the region of £6,000. A 25% contribution would be £1,500. Lacock PC confirmed they do not support this proposal. Subsequently withdrawn and issue removed from priority list. Lacock PC to escalate the issue at strategic level. Group agreed to leave on agenda for now.</p> <p>Lacock PC explained they have written to Western Gateway regarding the impact Melksham bypass will have on the village and coaches. Requests for strategic plan made to Cllr Wayman and MP Michelle Donelan. It was also suggested to contact Cllr Philip Whitehead – Leader of Wiltshire Council to highlight these issues further. No further action currently.</p> <p><b>Leave on agenda for now and monitor.</b> Melksham Bypass route selection process might have an impact on this issue in the future.</p>	<p><b>Leave on agenda for now and monitor</b></p>

<p>b)</p>	<p><b>5-20-2</b> Lacock Road, Corsham – verge deterioration, request for footway/kerbing installation.</p>	<p>Request for new footway and kerb line along Lacock Road on the southern side for the extent of the properties.</p> <p>PW explained the issues with parents parking on the double yellow lines and issues being cause by the muddy verge for residents. A footway along the south side of Lacock Road has been looked at before through TAOSJ in 2010 and 2015 but was not recommended due to large scale drainage works and relocation of utilities. It was felt this solution would also encourage more parents to drive and park if there was a footway introduced.</p> <p>GW confirmed road markings are in place and visible. KD has requested targeted enforcement by Parking Services team at school times. Ball park figure supplied to Corsham TC for kerbing works. In the region of £20,000 – £25,000. Concerns over safety and parking issues surrounding the school. Request for investigation into school keep clear markings, no loading restriction. Possible scheme for future substantive bid funding. Group agreed to allocate £2,000 for now</p> <p>Site visit undertaken in June 2021 and discussed with David Arnup. Utility identification and gully clearing work to be carried out prior to finalising any design or cost estimate for this project.</p> <p>C Gully clearing and utility identification completed 17/9/21. SD/KD met on site to discuss utility findings and proposed options. Findings show potential for kerblines but requires a Topographical survey and Trial holes to determine buildability. Cost of Topo £2000 (CATG £1500 CTC £500) Cost of Trial Holes £4200 (CATG £3150 CTC £1050) cost valid until 31/3/22) Cost estimate for the installation of scheme £35,000 and would require a substantive bid in September 2022(if moved to top priority)</p>	<p><b>Discussion</b> Group wants to progress sub bid, SD state will require Topo survey and trial holes completed prior to any design. CATG agreed to progress with Topo and trial holes. CATG £4875 CTC (25%)£1625</p> <p><b>Action</b> Arrange Topo and trial holes</p>	<p>SD</p>
-----------	--	---	---	-----------

c)	<p><b><u>5-21-19</u></b></p> <p>Box, Market Place</p>	<p>BPC explained the issue of large delivery vehicle from McColl's going down the narrow Market Place, residents park their cars along road also which is causing conflict.</p> <p>McColl's deliveries should stop on A4 or back into the delivery area to unload but not doing this, possibly so not to block A4 traffic. Possible Road narrow/Unsuitable for HGV signs required. BPC to speak to McColl's to ask drivers to use loading bay at the shop-possible signing.</p> <p><b>To be looked at once on the top priority list</b></p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b></p> <p>Background given on problems, McColl's loading bay door damaged so not used, large lorries now park on A4 causing traffic delays or follow Sat Nav down to narrow Market Place.</p> <p><b><u>Action</u></b></p> <p>On site meeting required to discuss options once workload permits</p>	SD/BPC

d,	<p><b>5-20-8</b> Leafy Lane, Rudloe, Box</p>	<p>Referred to previous proposal submitted 2/3 years ago. Group agreed to revisit this issue and move to top priority when resources are available. BA arranged virtual meeting to discuss issues held on 11/01/21. Site visit undertaken by KD to assess the site further. To be discussed at the meeting. Discussed previous proposal and information on this project so far. Box PC confirmed they are supportive of the issue. BA requested additional coloured surfacing to the proposal. Highway officers discussed the pros/cons to this element on the maintenance side of things. Group agreed to allocate £1,000 for now. Proposal plan attached and updated estimate sent to Box PC for review in May 2021. Cost in the region of £3,800 (CATG: £2,850. 25% contribution: £950) Box PC email 15/6/21 – requested the CATG put this scheme on hold until further options have been considered. Removed from Priority list and moved to other priorities. SE fence obstructing visibility Cllr Walters agreed to meet PC on site to discuss further. DW/BM agreed to speak with BPC about how to proceed with this scheme. BPC not agreed to any of the options provided by Kate previously. If BPC require a SLA then a new highway issue form will need to be raised in order to add it to future agenda for discussions.</p> <p><b>Box PC to issue new highway improvement request forms for SLA-once done this one can be removed from agenda.</b></p>	<p><b>Can be removed as new Highway Improvement request forms received.</b></p>	SD
----	--	---	---	----

e,	<p><b><u>5-21-12</u></b> Corsham Park Lane - Speed limit</p>	<p>The Town Council has received a number of comments from residents in the new developments off Park Lane about the need to reduce the speed limit at the western end of the road. At present Park Lane has a 30mph limit from its junction with the A4 to the Peel Circus turning. The area from Peel Circus to Westwells Road is a 40mph limit. It could be argued that the nature of the road has changed (following the building and occupancy of the new housing) and that a 40mph limit might no longer be appropriate. This is especially true for the section between Peel Circus and Hudswell Lane.</p> <p><b>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available.</b></p> <p>Note: CTC have confirmed to contribute their 25% (£625) towards a SLA if issue progressed.</p>	<p><b>Watching brief until officer time available.</b></p>	
f,	<p><b><u>5-21-14</u></b> Corsham, A4 Pickwick junction with Middlewick Lane</p>	<p>Residents concerned about safety in crossing the A4 at Pickwick in the vicinity of Middlewick Lane. There is current no formal crossing and many dog walkers, parents with children and joggers cross at this location. Traffic is speeding through the village in excess of the 30mph speed limit. Request for signal-controlled crossing.</p> <p><b>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available to investigate a pedestrian survey.</b></p> <p>Note: CTC agree their 25% (£250) contribution towards a Pedestrian assessment should CATG agree to move to top</p>	<p><b>Watching brief until officer time available.</b></p>	

9,	<p><b><u>5-21-17</u></b> Corsham, Potley Lane – Congestion and speeding</p>	<p>Congestion and speeding on the stretch of Potley Lane between the Leafield Industrial Estate and Potley Bridge, especially the middle section where the carriageway becomes single lane due to on street parking. Request for speed reduction measures such as traffic calming/20mph speed limit. Possibly widening the carriageway through the narrow section if feasible.</p> <p><b>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available.</b> Note: CTC have agreed their 25% (£625) contribution towards a SLA should the scheme progress to top priority.</p>	<p><b>Watching brief until officer time available.</b></p>	
----	---	---	--	--



h,	<p><b><u>Issue 7043</u></b></p> <p>High Street, Lacock – High St/West St request for junction modifications.</p>	<p>Drainage survey complete. Street Lighting survey complete. Lacock Parish Council to review and consult locally on lighting design. Currently, the deadline for substantive bids to be submitted is September 2021. Consider CATG/LPC contributions ready for bid process.</p> <p>LPC to confirm whether to have a Stage 1 RSA carried out at this point regarding the minimal lighting option.</p> <p>LPC to carry out further local consultation including street lighting options.</p> <p>LPC to confirm contribution towards scheme ready for substantive bid process.</p> <p>LPC &amp; KD discussed the street lighting options and confirmed overall scheme costs with the group. Group agreed contribution of £1,000 towards scheme. LPC confirmed £20,000 towards bid.</p> <p>No action at present-Substantive Bid Submitted.- Awaiting outcome.</p> <p><b>Substantive bid unsuccessful.</b></p> <p><b>Meeting arranged for LPC/SD/KD to discuss options.</b></p>	<p><b>Can be removed as new Highway Improvement request forms received.</b></p>	SD
----	--	---	---	----

i,	<p><b><u>Issue 6886</u></b> A4 and Cross Keys Road junction. Request for reduction in speed limit.</p>	<p>Cost estimate for speed limit assessments is £2,500. in the. Corsham TC did confirm support &amp; 25% contribution for assessment. Cross Keys construction project now finished. Group agreed funding for assessment. Corsham TC confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>SA raised concerns over recommendation requesting that section 1 be reduced to 40mph. Officers explained criteria relating to setting speed limit. Request for information on whether environmental impact assessment is carried out as part of the assessment. Group agreed to fund implementation with estimate of £7,000 (CATG £5,250). Subject to Corsham TC confirming 25% contribution of £1,750.</p> <p>JW asked a process related question. KD confirmed if Corsham TC convince the rest of the group Councillors that a 40mph speed limit should be imposed, this will have to be escalated to the Head of Highways and Asset Management.</p> <p><b>Sept/Jan meeting agreed Ruth and Helen to work with CTC to put case forward to GR.</b> (HB to add to P&amp;A agenda for discussion). Agreed to remove from priority list at present as no WC time required.</p>	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b> Email sent to Gareth Rogers awaiting reply.</p> <p><b><u>Action</u></b> Reply to be reported back to CATG CTC next meeting</p>	CTC
----	--	---	--	-----

<p>j,</p>	<p><b><u>Issue 5818</u></b> <b><u>Issue 6364</u></b></p> <p>Park Lane, Corsham - issues with parking in vicinity of Chestnut Grange &amp; Purleigh Road.</p>	<p>Monitor parking issues as the developments on Park Lane progress and collate data received from local residents on the inappropriate parking at this location. Group agreed to leave on agenda and continue to monitor until developments have been completed. RH raised potential future issue with increased on street parking due to one unit wanting a change of use. New regulations allow for this without a requirement to apply or impose conditions, therefore this may lead to further parking issues at this location. DA confirmed patching sites have been submitted and are in hand. Group agreed to leave on the agenda for now and monitor.</p> <p>JW commented that most of the development is now complete and so now would be a good time to review this issue. Group agreed to take a holistic approach and revisit this issue.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken in June 2021. Options to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>HB and AC confirmed the parking issue has become increasingly worse in the last few weeks (June 2021)</p> <p>Further site visits required to monitor parking situation SD complete no issues at present, agreed to remove from priority list until any new evidence produced. <b>NO ACTION AT PRESENT</b></p>	<p><b>NO ACTION AT PRESENT</b></p>	
-----------	--	--	------------------------------------	--

6. New Requests / Issues				
a,	5-21-21 Gastard Velley Hill-Issues with speeding	<p>Gastard Velley Hill-Gastard is experiencing speeding through the village, resulting in an impact on road safety and quality of life.</p> <p>The TC SID data shows that average speed along Velley Hill are within the 30mph. Although extreme speeding has been registered with 60mph being exceeded 60+times in a 2 week period (Aug 2021) Max speeds recorded 98/92/86/72mph.</p> <p>CTC would like an appropriate engineering solution to be investigated to help prevent people traveling through the village at high speeds and improve road safety.</p>	<p><b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list. AutoSpeed Watch discussed, confusion on policy, referred to Gareth Rogers to approach Wiltshire Police but as it stands WC and WP do not support the use of AutoSpeed Watch.</p> <p><b>Action</b> SD refer info to GR to investigate.</p>	SD
b,	5-21-22 Services Cotswold Centre Neston, Speeding issues	<p>The SCC is on narrow road, corner, 60mph, as you exit site by car turning right or enter camp turning right, its dangerous. Speeding cars often on wrong side of road. No footway on one side so walkers need to cross road.</p> <p>TC supports a reduction in speed limit-requesting 30mph.</p>	<p><b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.</p>	SD

C,	5-22-1 Leafy Lane Box Speed/Crossing issues	Leafy Lane Box-unsafe for pedestrians to cross road due to volume and speed of vehicles. BPC request a speed limit assessment and pedestrian survey be carried out to ascertain the criteria for a formal pedestrian crossing and reduction in speed limit.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD
D,	5-22-2 Chapel Plaister Box Junction improvements	Danger to cars trying to exit from the junctions at Chapel Plaister onto B3109, junctions on brow of hill and has poor visibility from the right.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD
E,	5-22-3 Gastard Lanes End B3353 Junction improvements	Parking in the entrance to Lanes End adjacent to the B3353 forces cars to turn earlier and cross the carriageway, look into options to stop cars parking right on the junction, also dropped kerb is often inaccessible.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD

F,	5-22-4 A4 Pickwick, Field Houses Footway/Formal Crossing	No footway between entrance to Field Houses and entrance to Woodlands, residents walk in verge currently to get to crossing point, new footway proposed. Also a superior pedestrian crossing outside the entrance to Woodlands.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list. (BM states declaration of interest as family member lives here.)	SD
G,	5-22-5 Corsham Lacock Road Speeding issues	Lacock Road well used pedestrian route visiting Cemeteries at Lack Road/Ladbrook Lane. Footway and carriageway narrow. Cars speed past pedestrians. CTC request a speed limit assessment to be undertaken to lower the speed limit to 30mph.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list. Metrocount required to determine if speed is an issue.  <b>Action</b> CTC to arrange Metrocount	SD  JW
H,	5-22-6 Corsham Pound Pill, B3353 Pedestrian improvements and speeding issues	Footways from the railway bridge down to the junction with Stokes Road (by Great Western) are too narrow, poorly lit and allow little visibility between pedestrians and fast moving vehicles coming from Gastard direction. Widen footways with priority chicanes to restrict traffic flow, provide a pedestrian crossing, improvements to street lighting and reduction in speed limit and measures to encourage observation of it..	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list. RH states this section been looked at many times with little able to be done. Section is already in area survey for 20mph. Wait for reports.	

I,	5-22-7 Corsham Lypiatt Road Speeding issues	Speeding vehicles along Lypiatt Road between Elley Green and Dicketts Road. CTC supports the need to lower speed limit to 30mph.	<p><b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list. Metrocount required to determine if speed is an issue.</p> <p><b>Action</b> CTC to arrange Metrocount</p>	SD  CTC
J,	5-22-8 Lacock West Street/High Street junction Junction improvements (sub bid)	As part of the Atkins traffic study suggestion as per last years substantive bid with the new proposal to be streamlined, proposals to be reviewed and recosted. To make improvements to the junction at West Street/High Street.	<p><b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list. Linked to previous sub bid for West St junction. Cheaper alternative required.</p> <p><b>Action</b> On site meeting to be arranged to discuss options when officer time allows</p>	SD  SD/LPC
K,	5-22-9 Lacock Bowden Hill (East) Raised table (sub bid)	As part of the Atkins traffic study suggestion of raised table East of Forest Lane junction on the C155	<p><b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.</p>	SD

L,	5-22-10 Lacock Bowden Hill (West) Raised table (sub bid)	As part of the Atkins traffic study suggestion of raised table West of Forest Lane junction on the C155	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD
M,	5-22-11 Lacock, Cantax Hill Raised table (sub bid) and speed limit reduction	As part of the Atkins traffic study suggestion of raised table in the vicinity of the Lacock village gateway on Cantax Hill and to extend the 30mph speed limit to the junction with the A350 traffic lights.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD
N,	5-22-12 Lacock West Street Raised table (sub bid)	As part of the Atkins traffic study suggestion of raised table in West Street South of the cemetery before the junction with Hither Way.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD



O,	5-22-13 Lacock Church Street/East Street Signing review and one way	Drivers unaware there is a no through road up Church Street and have to back down Nethercote Hill through the ford, conflicts with pedestrians.  In East Street cars cannot pass due to parked cars on one side, drivers reversing in either direction. LPC request a signing review and possible one way down East Street.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD
P,	5-22-14 Lacock Hither Way Speeding issues	Atkins rejected the request for a 30mph in 2020 without giving a sufficiently thorough explanation or discussion of their reasons.	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD
q	5-22-15 Lacock Village 20mph	LPC would like to see a blanket 20mph limit throughout the centre of Lacock (this should be the last to be implemented as part of the Atkins report)	<b>Discussion</b> CATG agree to add to list.	SD

7.	AOB		Sarah reminds Councils that any new issues must be reported in the correct way by filling in the highway improvement request form and sent in to <a href="mailto:CATGRequests@wiltshire.gov.uk">CATGRequests@wiltshire.gov.uk</a> , Town and Parish Councils must discuss and support the issues being raised (and filled in on form to state this) prior to sending it in. then it will be recorded, given a unique number and passed to Sarah to be added to the agenda for the next CATG meeting.	Noted and agreed.		
8.						
	<b><u>TOP PRIORITY SCHEMES LISTED BELOW (SCHEMES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW REQUIRE FUNDING APPROVAL FROM THE AREA BOARD):</u></b>					
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 5-20-4 Request for 20mph speed limit on residential streets, Corsham SL Assessment £2,500 (CATG £1875, Corsham TC £625) Installation works £5415.34 Additional <b>£2110.66 required for installation.</b></li> <li>2. 5-19-9 Freestone Way, Corsham Bus Stops £15,617.30 (CATG £4000, Corsham TC £11,712.97)</li> <li>3. 5-20-5 Memorial Gardens/Market Place Colerne footway works £3200 (CATG £2400, Colerne PC £800)</li> <li>4. 5-21-2 B3109 Bradford Road Rudloe Speed limit assessment and topo £4500 (CATG £3375, Corsham TC/Box PC £1125)</li> <li>5. 5-21-3 Pool Green/Elley Green Junction Pedestrian works £3500 Additional <b>£3295 required for installation</b></li> <li>6. 5-21-9 Fosseway/Bath Road Junction Colerne signing review £2500, Additional <b>£1859 required for installation</b></li> <li>7. 5-21-18 Smiths Yard/High Street Corsham, nameplate £78</li> <li>8. 5-21-20 Corsham Station Road building protection <b>£1000</b></li> <li>9. 5-20-2 Corsham Lacock Road verge deterioration, kerbing works. Topo £2000 (<b>CATG £1500</b>/CTC £500) Trial Holes £4200 (<b>CATG £3375</b> CTC £1125)</li> </ol>					
9.						
	<b>Date of Next Meeting</b>	Wednesday 6 <sup>th</sup> July @ 2pm via MS Teams				

## Corsham Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Sarah Dearden

### 1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

### 2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Corsham Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3<sup>rd</sup> party contributions are confirmed, Corsham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£24,800.94**

### 3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

### 4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

### 5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

### 6. Safeguarding Implications

6.1 There are no safeguarding implications

### 7. Recommendations

**Corsham Area Board are asked to approve recommendations**